

Oral Health Surveillance Plan 2011 – 2020



Timothy L. Ricks, DMD, MPH
IHS Dental Public Health Consultant

IHS DOH Surveillance History

- 1957 1978: continuous monitoring
- 1984, 1991, 1999: Oral Health Status Surveys (similar methodology)
- Feb. 2010: CDC National Oral Health Surveillance Summit (IHS participated)
- March 2010: Began development of long-range IHS Oral Health Surveillance Plan
- May-December 2010: Basic Screening Survey of 0-5 year-olds
- Dec. 2011: Final approval of surveillance plan

Purpose

- Establish a system that can be used within the IHS
 Division of Oral Health to measure the prevalence and
 severity of oral diseases, the oral disease burden on
 the (AI/AN) population, and the impact that our efforts
 in prevention, education, and early intervention and
 treatment has made on the population.
- The plan establishes the core health indicators which will be measured, the frequency of measurement, and comparability with other national oral health surveillance systems.

Acknowledgements

 The following people helped review the IHS Oral Health Surveillance Plan:

Indian Health Service

- -Dr. Tim Ricks
- -Dr. Tim Lozon
- -Dr. George Chiarchiaro
- -Dr. Patrick Blahut
- -Dr. Rick Champany
- -Dr. Woody Crow
- -Dr. Clay Crossett
- -Dr. Joe Hosek

Office of the Surgeon General

-Dr. Chris Halliday

Centers for Disease Control

- -Laurie Barker
- -Dr. Eugenio Beltran
- -Dr. Bill Kohn

National Institute for Dental and Craniofacial Research

-Dr. Isabel Garcia

Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors

-Dr. Kathy Phipps

Strategic Partners

• CDC



• NIDCR



ASTDD



Comparability of Survey Design

Table 1. Comparison of Survey Indicators

,				
Indicator	IHS 1999 OHS	BSS/N	OHSS	NHANES 2010
Caries experience	X (2-14, 15-19)	X (3 rd graders)		X (2+ years)
DMFT/dmft	X (2-19, 35-44, 55+)	X (opti	ional)	X
DMFS/dmfs	X (2-19, 35-44, 55+)			
Incisor dmft	X (2-5 years)			
Untreated tooth decay	X (2-14, 35-44, 55+)	X (3 rd gr	aders)	Х
Severe ECC	X (2-5 years)			
Denture status and use		X (older	adult)	Х
Edentulism	X (35-44, 55+)	X (self-repo	rted, 65+)	Х
Fluorosis				X
Gingivitis				X
Loss of periodontal attachment	X (35-44, 55+)			X
CPITN	X (15-19, 35-44, 55+)			
Perceived oral health status				X
Preventive care/oral hygiene		X (self-repo	rted, 18+)	Х
Sealants		X (molars, 3	rd graders)	X
Smoking	X (15-19, 35-44, 55+)			X
Tooth count		X (older	adult)	Х
Diabetes	X (35-44, 55+)			
Dental visit		X (self-repo	orted, 18+)	Х
Loss of 6 or more teeth		X (self-repo	X (self-reported, 65+)	
Fluoridation status		x		
Similar in all 3 survey designs	Similar between IHS OH	S and NHANES	Similar betwe	en the BSS and NHANES

Similar in all 3 survey designs

Similar between IHS OHS and NHANES


Similar between the BSS and NHANES

Survey Methodology

- Basis Screening Survey
 - Community-based
 - Began in 2010



- Electronic Dental Record
 - Clinic-based
 - Begins in 2015



Survey Indicators

 BSS indicators are based primarily on Healthy People 2020 oral health objectives:



http://healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/overview.aspx?topicid=32

 EDR indicators are based primarily on previous oral health status surveys.

Basic Screening Survey

Community-based

Indicator	HP 2020 Objective	Data Source	1-5 years	6-9 years	13-15 years
Population Base			Community Sample	School Sample	School or Clinic
Caries experience (%)	OH-1	Screening	X	X	X
Untreated decay (%)	OH-2	Screening	X	X	X
Severity (dmft/DMFT)*	NA	Screening	X	X	X
Dental clinic utilization	OH-7	Screening/EDR	X	X	X
Preventive dental services	OH-8	Screening/EDR	X	X	X
Dental sealants (%)	OH-12	Screening	X	X	X
Surveillance years			2010, 2013, 2015	2011-12, 2017-18	2015,2020

EDR Surveillance - children

Indicator	HP 2020 Objective	Data Source	2-5 years	6-14 years	15-19 years
Population Base			Clinic Sample	Clinic Sample	Clinic Sample
Caries experience (%)	OH-1	EDR	X	X	X
% with caries experience on	NA	EDR	x		
1-4 maxillary incisors					
% with caries experience > 1	NA	EDR	x		
maxillary incisor or dmft ≥ 6					
(severe ECC)					
Untreated decay (%)	OH-2	EDR	x	x	x
Untreated decay, permanent	NA	EDR/Screening		x	X
teeth only (%)					
dmft/DMFT, dmfs/DMFS	NA	EDR	x	x	x
Mean dmfs for maxillary	NA	EDR	X		
incisors and posterior teeth					
%with dmfs > 0 with max.	NA	EDR	x		
incisor decay only, posterior					
decay only; posterior & incisor decay					
Dental clinic utilization	OH-7	EDR	X	X	X
Dental sealants (%)	OH-12	EDR	X	X	X
% with dental sealants on 1 st	NA.	EDR		X	X
molars, 2 nd molars, or both		251		^	^
Mean number of sealed	NA	EDR		X	X
teeth					
% with fluorosis	NA	EDR		X	X
% using tobacco	NA	EDR		X	X
% with highest CPITN of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4	NA	EDR			×
% with perio pockets (< 4	NA	EDR			x
mm, > 4 and < 6 mm, > 6					
mm) for comparison with					
% with loss of attachment (3	NA NA	EDR			×
mm, >3 and < 5mm, > 5mm)	140	EUR			^
for comparison with previous					
surveys					
Surveillance years			2015, 2020	2015, 2020	2015, 2020

EDR Surveillance - adults

Indicator	HP 2020 Objective	Data Source	35-44 years	45-54 years	55+
Population Base			Clinic Patients	Clinic Patients	Clinic Patients
Untreated decay (%)	OH-3	EDR	X	X	X
Severity (DMFT)*	NA	EDR	X	X	X
Tooth loss	OH-4	EDR	X	X	X
Destructive periodontal	OH-5	EDR	X	X	X
disease (%)					
Dental clinic utilization (%)	OH-7	EDR	X	X	X
Tobacco cessation (%)	OH-14.1	EDR	X	X	X
Oral cancer screening (%)	OH-14.2	EDR	X	X	X
Glycemic control (%)	OH-14.3	EDR	X	X	x
% with dental sealants on 1 st molars, 2 nd molars, or both	NA	EDR	х	х	x
% with fluorosis	NA	EDR	X	X	X
% using tobacco	NA	EDR	X	X	X
%with highest CPITN of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4	NA	EDR	x	x	x
% with perio pockets (< 4 mm, ≥ 4 and < 6 mm, ≥ 6 mm	NA	EDR	x	x	×
% with loss of attachment (3 mm, \geq 3 and < 5mm, \geq 5mm)	NA	EDR	x	x	x
% with diabetes	NA	EDR	X	X	X
% that need biopsy	NA	EDR	X	X	X
% will all 28 teeth	NA	EDR	X	X	X
% with 20 or more teeth	NA	EDR	X	X	X
% with no natural teeth	NA	EDR	X	X	X
% with root caries	NA	EDR	X	X	X
Surveillance years			2015, 2020	2015, 2020	2015, 2020

Future Consideration

Inclusion into the NOHSS

Complete Tooth Loss

Fluoridation Status
Public Water Supply
Fluoridation Growth
Water Supply
Statistics

Cancer of the Oral Cavity and Pharynx

Additional Resources

Data Sources

Related Links

Contact Us

Child Indicators

Dental Sealants

Caries Experience

Untreated Tooth

Decay



State	School Year	Percent with Caries Experience	Response Rate ¹ (%)	Percent eligible for the National School Lunch Program ²		
				Sample		
				Schools ³	Students ⁴	State
Alabama	2005-2007	% 60.1 CI (57.8-62.3) N 9301	73	51	NR.	56
Alaska	2007-2008	% 59.6 5 CI (56.1-62.9) N 826	48	42	NR	46
Arizona	2009-2010	% 75.0 CI (71.3-78.6) N 3150	37	51	NR.	48
Arkansas	2009-2010	% 64.0 ⁵ CI (62.1-65.3) N 4239	53	55	NR.	65
California	2004-2005	% 70.9 CI (69.1–72.8) N 10444	52	64	NR.	57
Colorado	2006-2007	% 57.2 CI (55.4-58.9) N 3012	79	40	NR.	41
Connecticut	2006-2007	% 40.6 CI (36.3-44.8) N 8755	81	37	NR.	35
Delaware	2001-2002	% 54.5	43	37	41	40

Timeline


- Fall 2011:
 - 6-9 (BSS)
- Spring 2012:
 - 6-9 (BSS, continuation)
- Fall 2013:
 - 0-5 (BSS)
 - ECC mid-point
- Fall 2014:
 - 13-15 (BSS)
- Spring 2015:
 - 13-15 (BSS, continuation)
- Fall 2015:
 - 0-5 (BSS)
 - EDR surveillance (multiple age groups)



Timeline, continued

- 2016:
 - No surveillance plan
- Fall 2017:
 - 6-9 (BSS)
- Spring 2018:
 - 6-9 (BSS, continuation)
- Fall 2019:
 - 13-15 (BSS)
- Spring 2020:
 - 13-15 (BSS, continuation)
- Fall 2020:
 - 0-5 (BSS)
 - EDR (multiple age groups)





Sampling Methods

 BSS – randomized clinic samples, methodology will differ by age group

EDR – data collected centrally from EDR sites

 We will try to not overload the same clinics year after year with multiple surveys.

Report Release

Step 1

- Data collected from sites (1 month)
- Central data entry (1 month)

Step 2

- Develop draft report (1 month)
- Internal review team reviews report (1 month)

Step 3

- Report and decision memo sent to HQ
- HQ reviews report and releases (or sends back for additional edits)

